UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,305	06/03/2005	Eero Suomi	4483-5PUS	9807	
27799 7590 05/14/2008 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE 551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			EXAMINER		
			HALPERN, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1791			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary  N	ixaminer Mark Halpern rs on the cover sheet with the co	SUOMI ET AL.  Art Unit  1791  orrespondence address				
M M	lark Halpern  rs on the cover sheet with the co	1791				
	rs on the cover sheet with the co					
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication common		orrespondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal Period for Reply	OFF TO EVOIDE AMONTHU					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Marc</u>	ch 2008					
	etion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p						
ologica in accordance with the practice under Exp	Jane Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b)∏ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	· - ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • •	* *				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atoric ppinoatori				



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,305 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 3/4/2008. Claims 1-17 are cancelled and new claims 18-34 are offered for consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 18-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheideler (U.S. 2003/0188583). Scheideler discloses a calendering machine and process for operating the calendering machine having at least one roll and an opposing roll surface arranged to form a nip. See Figures 1-3. Figure 3 shows four calender rolls in three nip arrangements. Figure 1 shows a roll arrangement of two rolls 12 and 14 forming a nip 16. A sound measuring device is arranged to conduct sound measurements to monitor vibrations in the roll or in the nip. Sound sensor 18, which is part of sound measurement device 20, is arranged in a direct proximity of jacket 12' of roll 12. The sound measurement or sound analysis can be conducted repeatedly or continuously. Also included in the monitoring system 10 is a control and regulating device 22 to which signals are sent for analysis. The sound measurement value or a value derived from it is compared with a preset limit value and in the event the limit

Art Unit: 1791

value is exceeded, the exceeding of the limit value is signaled and/or control and/or regulating steps are activated which counteract the vibrations or reduce the vibration to a minimum ([0087] – [0096]). The control signal changes are disclosed in Figure 4 and [0104] – [0107]. The calendering machine is disclosed in [0096]. Any differences are minor and would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3) Claims 18-34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,615,709. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

each other because the present invention and the patent recite the method of correcting vibrations in a roll of a paper making calender monitored by measuring devices emitting a signal for analysis and the reduction in vibration due to the measured signal.

### Response to Amendment

- 4) Claims 1-17 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn.
- 5) Claims 1-17 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Scheideler, is withdrawn.
- 6) Claims 1-17 rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,615,709, is withdrawn.
- 7) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of cancelled claims.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Application/Control Number: 10/534,305 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

S	erial	Nur	nber

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,305	SUOMI ET AL.
Examiner		Art Unit
	Mark Halpern	1791

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 2008